

ENTERED

June 02, 2025

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

JOE F. SENA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MARTIN J. O'MALLEY,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00227

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION


On May 7, 2025, United States Magistrate Judge Julie K. Hampton issued her “Memorandum and Recommendation” (D.E. 15), recommending that the Court grant Plaintiff’s unopposed motion for attorney’s fees and expenses (D.E. 14). The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s memorandum and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s memorandum and recommendation (D.E. 15), and all other relevant

documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees and expenses (D.E. 14) is **GRANTED** and the Court **ORDERS** that an EAJA fee award of \$7,446.60 be made payable to Plaintiff, Joe F. Sena, and sent in care of his attorney, David F. Chermol.

ORDERED on May 30, 2025.


NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE